Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/845,309	ODAMURA, SATOSHI
Examiner	Art Unit
Viet Vu	2154

Interview Summary	09/845,309 ODAMURA, SATOSHI		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Viet Vu	2154	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Viet_Vu</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>James Dresser (22,973)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 March 2005.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊡ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Boyle</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ℚ	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the difference</u>	nature of what was agreed to s between the claimed inventi	if an agreement on and Boyle.	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no dallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that w	reed would rendo yould render the	er the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse s	e last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THI OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APP S INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS UMMARY
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	12NI	7/	

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required